

9.34 Village of Nissequogue

This section presents the jurisdictional annex for the Village of Nissequogue. It includes resources and information to assist public and private sectors to reduce losses from future hazard events. This annex is not guidance of what to do when a disaster occurs. Rather, this annex concentrates on actions that can be implemented prior to a disaster to reduce or eliminate damage to property and people. This annex includes a general overview of the municipality and who in the Village participated in the planning process; an assessment of the Village of Nissequogue's risk and vulnerability; the different capabilities utilized in the Village; and an action plan that will be implemented to achieve a more resilient community.

9.34.1 Hazard Mitigation Planning Team

The following individuals have been identified as the Village of Nissequogue's hazard mitigation plan primary and alternate points of contact.

Table 9.34-1. Hazard Mitigation Planning Team

Primary Point of Contact	Alternate Point of Contact
Name/Title: John Valentine, Emergency Manager Address: 631 Moriches Road St. James, New York 11780 Phone Number: 631-584-5300 Email: nvpdco@gmail.com	Name/Title: Jennifer Mesiano Higham, Grants Coordinator Address: 631 Moriches Road St. James, New York 11780 Phone Number: 631-827-5104 Email: jennifer@jmesiano.com
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	
Name/Title: Joseph Arico, Building Inspector Address: 631 Moriches Road St. James, New York 11780 Phone Number: 631-862-9494 Email: nvbuildinginsp@optonline.net	

9.34.2 Municipal Profile

The Village of Nissequogue, originally settled in the 1660's by Richard Smythe, the founder of Smithtown, incorporated in 1926. The Village has remained a simple, residential community which is zoned two acres with limited one acre parcels in the beach peninsulas. The Village is wholly residential, however, due to past or existing zoning regulations and/or granted variances, some non-residential uses include a private Yacht Club on Stony Brook Harbor, a private golf club, a private beach club, the Knox School (a non-profit private boarding school and day academy for girls), Hollandia and Phantom Farms (which conduct breeding and training of thoroughbred horses) and two nurseries.

The Village of Nissequogue lies within the Town of Smithtown in the western part of Suffolk County approximately 50 miles east of New York City. The Village is bordered on the north by the Long Island Sound, the west by the Nissequogue River, the south by the Hamlet of Smithtown and the east by the Hamlet of St. James and the Village of Head of the Harbor.

The Village of Nissequogue is governed by a council form of government consisting of 5 elected officials, including four trustees and the Village Mayor. This body will be responsible for the resolution, implementation and update of the All-Hazards Mitigation Plan. The Village provides police and fire services, general administrative services, highway and other services to its residents.

According to the U.S. Census, the 2010 population for the Village of Nissequogue was 1,749. The estimated 2017 population was 1,574, a 10.0 percent decrease from the 2010 Census. Data from the 2017 U.S. Census American Community Survey indicate that 3.0 percent of the population is 5 years of age or younger and 21.0





percent is 65 years of age or older. Communities must deploy a support system that enables all populations to safely reach shelters or to quickly evacuate a hazard area.

9.34.3 Growth/Development Trends

Understanding how past, current, and projected development patterns have or are likely to increase or decrease risk in hazard areas is a key component to understanding a jurisdiction's overall risk to its hazards of concern. Table 9.37-1 summarizes recent and expected future development trends, including major residential/commercial development and major infrastructure development. The figures at the end of this annex illustrate the geographically-delineated hazard areas and the location of potential new development, where available. The recent and anticipated development depicted on these figures excludes the Suffolk County wastewater upgrades; refer to Section 4 (County Profile) for additional information on this development.

Table 9.34-2. Recent and Expected Future Development

Type of Development	2()14	20	015	20	016	20	017	20	018	20	019
Number of Buil Outside regulat			lew Con	struction 1	Issued Si	ince the P	revious I	HMP* (wi	thin reg	ılatory flo	odplain	
	Total	Within SFHA	Total	Within SFHA	Total	Within SFHA	Total	Within SFHA	Total	Within SFHA	Total	Within SFHA
Single Family	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Multi-Family	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other (commercial, mixed-use, etc.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Permits Issued	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Property or Development Name		ype of opment			Ha Zon	own zard ie(s)*	Description / Status of Development			ıs of		
	Recent Major Development and Infrastructure from 2015 to Present None Identified											
	Known	or Antici	pated M	ajor Deve		and Infra		e in the N	ext Five	(5) Years		
				1	None A	nticipate	ed					

SFHASpecial Flood Hazard Area (1% flood event)

9.34.4 Capability Assessment

The Village of Nissequogue performed an inventory and analysis of existing capabilities, plans, programs, and policies that enhance its ability to implement mitigation strategies. Section 5 (Capability Assessment) describes the components included in the capability assessment and their significance for hazard mitigation planning. This section summarizes the following findings of the assessment:

- An assessment of legal and regulatory capabilities.
- Development and permitting capabilities.
- An assessment of fiscal capabilities.
- An assessment of education and outreach capabilities.
- Information on National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) compliance.
- Classification under various community mitigation programs.



 $[\]hbox{* Only location-specific hazard zones or vulnerabilities identified.}$



• The community's adaptive capacity for the impacts of climate change.

For a community to succeed in reducing long-term risk, hazard mitigation must be integrated into the day-to-day local government operations. As part of this planning effort, planning/policy documents were reviewed, and each jurisdiction was surveyed to obtain a better understanding of their progress in plan integration. Areas with current mitigation integration are summarized in Capability Assessment (Section 9.38). The Village of Nissequogue identified specific integration activities that will be incorporated into municipal procedures are included in the updated mitigation strategy. Appendix G provides the results of the planning/policy document review and the answers to integration survey questions.

Planning, Legal, and Regulatory Capability

The table below summarizes the regulatory tools that are available to the Village of Nissequogue and where hazard mitigation has been integrated.

Table 9.34-3. Planning, Legal, and Regulatory Capability

		Code Citation and Date					is been rated?
	Do you have this? (Yes/No)	(code chapter, name of plan, date of plan)	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Department / Agency Responsible	State Mandated		an it be a on action?
Codes, Ordinances,	& Requirement	S					
Building Code	Yes	Building Code Administration and Enforcement, Chapter 51, Village Code	Local, State	Code Enforcement Officer	Yes	Yes	-
Comment: This chap							
(the Uniform Code) at to § 10 of the Munic	ipal Home Rule L	Law. Except as other	rwise provided in th	e Uniform Code,	other state law, o	r other section o	
all buildings, structur	res, and premises	Zoning Code,	r occupancy, are su	bject to the provis	ions of this chapt	er.	T T
Zoning Code	Yes	Chapter 128, Village Code	Local	Zoning Board	No	Yes	-
beneficial area and to village and B. Provide and unduce. Protectorderly and D. Protectorderly and forth in the F. Promothaving pa	and convenient to the potential for side in relation to ace a dequate light, a congestion of put the character and the character and the character and the beneficial. It and conserve the about the gradual his chapter and mute the most benefiricular regard to	relationships among uch uses as indicate djoining areas. air and privacy; secopulation. d the social and econe value of buildings conformity of the unimize conflicts aricial relation betwee the avoidance of couses of land and buil Subdivision of	of the village in accept the areas within the dependent of the areas within the dependent of the properties of the areas within the dependent of the areas within the various distributed in the various distributed on the uses of land and the uses of land and the areas of land and the uses of land and th	e village, consider ions, having regar flood and other d I parts of the villa cts established by dings throughout to and buildings. Ind buildings and tets and the provisi	ing the suitability d for conditions anger and preven ge and ensure that this chapter. the village with the	y of the various us and trends both at overcrowding at all developme the Comprehensi traffic througho	uses in each within the of the land int shall be we Plan set ut the village
Subdivisions	Yes	Land, Chapter 128, Village Code	Local	Zoning Board	No	Yes	-
Comment: This chap	ter regulates the	subdivision of land	in the village.				
Stormwater Management	Yes	Stormwater Management and Erosion Control, Chapter 94.	Local	Building Inspector; Planning Board and/or the	Yes	Yes	-



Do you have this?	Code Citation and Date (code chapter, name of plan,	Authority (local, county,	Department / Agency	State	Has this been integrated? If no - can it be a mitigation action?
(Yes/No)	date of plan)	state, federal)	Responsible	Mandated	
	Village Code;		Stormwater		
	Erosion and		Management		
	Sediment		Officer		
	Control,				
	Chapter 65,				
	Village Code				

Comment: Chapter 94: Stormwater runoff and combined overflows which drain into the Nissequogue River, Smithtown Bay and Stony Brook Harbor contain pollutants and sediments which significantly affect the quality of those waters. Conservation of high water quality and improvement of the quality of the waters where contamination has occurred are major objectives of the Local Waterfront Revitalization Program entered into by the Village of Nissequogue jointly with the Village of Head-of-the-Harbor, under the Coastal Management Program of the State of New York. The purpose of this chapter is to establish measures to assist in controlling the entry of water contaminants into the Nissequogue River, Smithtown Bay and Stony Brook Harbor.

Chapter 65: The chapter is adopted in order to:

- A. Meet the requirements of minimum measures 4 and 5 of the SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Municipal Separate Stormwater Sewer Systems (MS4s), Permit No. GP-02-02, or as amended or revised:
- B. Require land development activities to conform to the substantive requirements of the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Permit for Construction Activities GP-02-01, or as amended or revised:
- C. Minimize increases in stormwater runoff from land development activities in order to reduce flooding, siltation, increases in stream temperature, and streambank erosion and maintain the integrity of stream channels;
- D. Minimize increases in pollution caused by stormwater runoff from land development activities which would otherwise degrade local water quality;
- E. Minimize the total annual volume of stormwater runoff which flows from any specific site during and following development to the maximum extent practicable; and
- F. Reduce stormwater runoff rates and volumes, soil erosion and nonpoint source pollution, wherever possible, through stormwater management practices and to ensure that these management practices are properly maintained and eliminate threats to public safety.

Post-Disaster Recovery	No	-	-	-	No	-	-		
Comment:									
Real Estate Disclosure	Yes	Property Condition Disclosure Act, NY Code - Article 14 §460-467	State	NYS Department of State, Real Estate Agent	Yes	Yes	-		
Comment:									
Growth Management	No	-	-	-	No	-	-		
Comment: Guided th	rough Zoning an	•	ers.						
Site Plan Review	Yes	Site Plan Approval, Chapter 93, Village Code	Local	Planning Board	No	Yes	-		
activities proposed w review and provide it where appropriate, th	Comment: It is the purpose of this chapter to establish a procedure for site plan review for new land use, development and construction activities proposed within the Village consistent with and pursuant to § 7-725-a of the Village Law, and to authorize the Planning Board to review and provide it with appropriate standards in its review of all site plans for compliance with certain site plan elements, which include, where appropriate, those related to parking, means of access, traffic, screening, lighting, signs, landscaping, location and dimension of buildings, adjacent land uses and physical features meant to protect adjacent buildings and land uses, as well as any additional site plan								
Environmental Protection	Yes	Freshwater Wetlands Code, Chapter 75, Village Code	Local	Building Department and Building Inspector	Yes	Yes	-		
Comment: Chapter 7.	5 is adopted for t	he protection of fre	shwater wetlands wi	ithin the Village.					
Flood Damage Prevention	Yes	Flood Damage Prevention,	Local	Building Inspector	Yes - BFE+2 feet for all	Yes	-		





	Code Citation and Date				Has this been integrated?
Do you have this? (Yes/No)	(code chapter, name of plan, date of plan)	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Department / Agency Responsible	State Mandated	If no - can it be a mitigation action?
	Chapter 71, Village Code			construction in the SFHA (residential and non- residential)	

Comment: The chapter is adopted in order to:

- A. Protect human life and health.
- B. Minimize expenditure of public money for costly flood control projects.
- C. Minimize the need for rescue and relief efforts associated with flooding and generally undertaken at the expense of the general public.
- D. Minimize prolonged business interruptions.
- E. Minimize damage to public facilities and utilities, such as water and gas mains, electric, telephone, sewer lines, streets and bridges, located in areas of special flood hazard.
- F. Help maintain a stable tax base by providing for the sound use and development of areas of special flood hazard so as to minimize future flood blight areas.
- G. Provide that developers are notified that property is in an area of special flood hazard.
- H. Ensure that those who occupy the areas of special flood hazard assume responsibility for their actions.

Municipal Separate Storm Sewer	Yes	Storm Sewers, Chapter 95,	Local	Stormwater Management Officer	Yes	Yes	-	
System (MS4)		Village Code		Officer				

Comment: The chapter is adopted in order to:

- A. To meet the requirements of the SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from MS4s, Permit No. GP-02-02, or as amended or revised;
- B. To regulate the contribution of pollutants to the MS4 since such systems are not designed to accept, process or discharge nonstormwater wastes;
- C. To prohibit illicit connections, activities and discharges to the MS4;
- D. To establish legal authority to carry out all inspection, surveillance and monitoring procedures necessary to ensure compliance with this article; and
- E. To promote public awareness of the hazards involved in the improper discharge of trash, yard waste, lawn chemicals, pet waste, wastewater, grease, oil, petroleum products, cleaning products, paint products, hazardous waste, sediment and other pollutants into the MS4

Emergency Management	Yes	Fire Department, Chapter 17, Village Code; Police Department, Chapter 24,	Local	Fire Department, Police Department	Yes	Yes	-		
		Village Code							
Comment: Chapter 17 and Chapter 24 establish the Fire and Police Departments for the Village.									
Climate Change	No	-	-	-	Yes	-	-		
Comment:		,							
Disaster Recovery Ordinance	No	-	-	-	No	-	-		
Comment:									
Disaster Reconstruction Ordinance	No	-	-	-	No	-	-		
Comment:	Comment:								
Erosion Protection Structures	Yes	Erosion Protection Structures, Chapter 64, Village Code	Local	Building Inspector and Conservation Advisory Council	No	Yes	-		

Comment: Erosion protection structures, if improperly designed or constructed, may be ineffective or even harmful to neighboring waterfront properties. They are to be encouraged only where they are likely to minimize or prevent damage or destruction to public or private property, to





		Code Citation and Date					is been rated?		
	Do you have this? (Yes/No)	(code chapter, name of plan, date of plan)	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Department / Agency Responsible	State Mandated	If no - ca	an it be a on action?		
natural protective fea useful and successful									
Coastal Consistency Review	Yes	Coastal Consistency Review, Chapter 53, Village Code	Local	Joint Village Coastal Management Commission in cooperation with the Village of Head-of-the- Harbor	No	Yes	-		
Comment: The purpo order to provide for c of Nissequogue can a villages have entered Coastal Management actions within the vil- planning and decision	oordinated review dvance the police into an intermuna Commission. The lage will be cons	w of actions located ies, standards and c licipal agreement to his chapter will imp istent with the police	I within coastal area onditions of the villa cooperatively perfo lement a coastal con	s of the Village of age's Local Waters orm the function of asistency review pa	Nissequogue (the front Revitalization of consistency revitation of the ville of the	e village) so that on Program (LV iew through the lage so as to ens	t the Village VRP). The Joint Village ure that		
Septic Systems	Yes	Chapter 92, Village Code	Local	Inspector	No	Yes	-		
Comment: The failure rate of septic systems in areas where the seasonal high groundwater table is three feet or less is very high. Such failures often result in groundwater contamination and can affect both existing and future supplies of drinking water. Protection of the public health and safety require the regulation by the Village of Nissequogue of the use and placement of septic systems in such areas.									
Planning Document	s			•		ı	ı		
Comprehensive Plan	No	-	-	-	No	-	-		
Comment:									
Capital Improvement Plan	No	-	-	-	No	-	-		
Comment:									
Disaster Debris Management Plan	Yes	Suffolk County Multi- Jurisdictional Debris Management Plan	County, Local	Suffolk County FRES	No	Yes	-		
Comment: This NYS efforts of Suffolk Cou									
Floodplain or Watershed Plan	Yes	LWRP	Local	Joint Village Coastal Management Commission in cooperation with the Village of Head-of-the- Harbor	No	Yes	-		
Comment: The LWRP represents a cooperative relationship between the Villages of Nissequogue and Head-of-the-Harbor in order to provide for coordinated review of actions located within coastal areas of the Village of Nissequogue so that the Village of Nissequogue can advance the policies, standards and conditions of the village's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program (LWRP). The villages have entered into an intermunicipal agreement to cooperatively perform the function of consistency review through the Joint Village Coastal Management Commission. This LWRP establishes policies and provides for coastal zone management considerations in village planning and decision-making processes.									
Stormwater Plan	Yes	Annual reports	Local	Stormwater Management Officer	No	Yes	-		
Comment: The Villag	ge completes ann	ual MS4 reports on	the stormwater prog		1	I	ı		





		Code Citation and Date					is been rated?
	Do you have this? (Yes/No)	(code chapter, name of plan, date of plan)	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Department / Agency Responsible	State Mandated	If no - ca	an it be a on action?
Open Space Plan	No	-	-	-	Yes	-	-
Comment:							
Urban Water Management Plan	No	-	-	-	No	-	-
Comment:							
Habitat Conservation Plan	No	-	-	-	No	-	-
Comment:							
Economic Development Plan	No	-	-	-	No	-	-
Comment:							
Shoreline Management Plan	Yes	LWRP	Local	Joint Village Coastal Management Commission in cooperation with the Village of Head-of-the- Harbor	Yes	Yes	-
intermunicipal agreer Commission. This LV making processes. Community Wildfire Protection Plan							
Comment:							
Forest Management Plan	No	-	-	-	No	-	-
Comment:							
Transportation Plan	No	-	-	-	No	-	-
Comment:							
Agriculture Plan	No	-	-	-	Yes	-	-
Comment:							
Other (this could include a climate action plan, tourism plan, business development plan, etc.)	Yes	Stormwater Improvement Feasibility Study in development for Cordwood Path watershed	Local	Town and Villages of Nissequogue and Head of the Harbor	No	Yes	-
Comment: The Smith phases of contract.	ntown received a		(in conjunction wit	h villages N and F	Head of Harbor).	Working on pre	liminary
Response/Recovery	Planning						
Comprehensive Emergency	Yes	Suffolk County Comprehensive	Suffolk County and Associated	Suffolk FRES	Yes	Yes	-
Management Plan		Emergency	Jurisdictions			1	<u> </u>



		Code Citation and Date (code					is been rated?	
	Do you have this? (Yes/No)	chapter, name of plan, date of plan)	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Department / Agency Responsible	State Mandated		nn it be a on action?	
		Management Plan (2018)						
Comment: The Coun its capability and cap Concept of Operation details emergency ma	pacity to undertalns of the CEMP of	ke emergency assig lescribes the manag	nments or acquire tement of emergence	those resources ne es within the Nati	cessary to suppo	ort its emergency	y mission. The	
Strategic Recovery Planning Report	No	-	-	-	No	-	-	
Comment:								
Threat & Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment (THIRA)	No	-	-	-	Yes	-	-	
Comment:								
Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	No	-	-	-	No	-	-	
Comment:								
Continuity of Operations Plan	No	-	-	-	No	-	-	
Comment:								
Public Health Plan	No	-	-	-	No	-	-	
Comment:								
Other	No	-	-	-	No	-	-	
Comment:								

Table 9.34-4. Development and Permitting Capability

Indicate if your jurisdiction implements the following	Response Yes/No; Provide further detail
Development Permits. If yes, what department?	Yes, Building Department
Permits are tracked by hazard area. For example, floodplain development permits.	Yes
Buildable land inventory If yes, please describe If no, please quantitatively describe the level of buildout in the jurisdiction.	The Village is fairly built out.

Administrative and Technical Capability

The table below summarizes potential staff and personnel resources available to the Village of Nissequogue.

Table 9.34-5. Administrative and Technical Capabilities

Resources	Available? (Yes or No)	Department/ Agency/Position
Administrative Capability		
Planning Board	Yes	Planning Board
Mitigation Planning Committee	No	Ad hoc Committee





Resources	Available? (Yes or No)	Department/ Agency/Position	
Environmental Board/Commission	Yes	LWRP, Joint Coast Commission	
Open Space Board/Committee	No	-	
Economic Development Commission/Committee	No	-	
Warning Systems / Services (reverse 911, outdoor warning signals)	Yes	Reverse 911 (county and town group), Town's app, media outlets, website, social media	
Maintenance programs to reduce risk	Yes	Routine stormwater cleaning, street sweeping, tree trimming, etc. Often done in partnership with the Town.	
Mutual aid agreements	Yes	Town and neighboring village (Head of the Harbor), County	
Technical/Staffing Capability			
Planners or engineers with knowledge of land development and land management practices	Yes	Building Department; Engineering Contract Entity	
Engineers or professionals trained in building or infrastructure construction practices	Yes	Building Department	
Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards	Yes	Engineering Contract Entity	
Staff with expertise or training in benefit/cost analysis	Yes	Village Treasurer and Mayor	
Professionals trained in conducting damage assessments	Yes	Building Department; Engineering Contract Entity	
Personnel skilled or trained in GIS and/or Hazards United States (HAZUS) – Multi-Hazards (MH) applications	Yes	Engineering Contract Entity	
Scientist familiar with natural hazards	No	Available via contract	
NFIP Floodplain Administrator (FPA)	Yes	Building Inspector, Joseph Arico	
Surveyor(s)	No	Available via contract	
Emergency Manager	Yes	Town of Smithtown Plan. The Town of Smithtown Department of Public Safety, and the technical resources they can coordinate from the Town, including but not limited to emergency management, and hazard mitigation planning and engineering are available to the Village	
Grant writer(s)	Yes	Contract Entity	
Resilience Officer	Yes	Emergency Manager	
Other (this could include stormwater engineer, environmental specialist, etc.)	No	-	

Fiscal Capability

The table below summarizes financial resources available to the Village of Nissequogue.

Table 9.34-6. Fiscal Capabilities

Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to Use (Yes/No)
Community development Block Grants (CDBG, CDBG-DR)	No
Capital improvements project funding	No
Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes	Yes
User fees for water, sewer, gas or electric service	No
Impact fees for homebuyers or developers of new development/homes	No





Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to Use (Yes/No)
Stormwater utility fee	Yes, there is a utility fee for roadways and drainage system
Incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes
Incur debt through special tax bonds	No
Incur debt through private activity bonds	No
Withhold public expenditures in hazard-prone areas	No
Other federal or state Funding Programs	FEMA
Open Space Acquisition funding programs	No
Other (for example, Clean Water Act 319 Grants [Nonpoint Source Pollution])	Mitigation Grant Programs (Yes)

Education and Outreach Capability

The table below summarizes the education and outreach resources available to the Village of Nissequogue.

Table 9.34-7. Education and Outreach Capabilities

Indicate if your jurisdiction has the following resources	Yes/No; Please describe
Public information officer or communications office?	Yes, emergency manager
Personnel skilled or trained in website development?	Contract for larger updates, in house for regular updates
Hazard mitigation information available on your website; if yes, describe	Yes, Stormwater information, Coronavirus updates, etc.
Social media for hazard mitigation education and outreach; if yes, briefly describe.	Website, Zoom, YouTube
Citizen boards or commissions that address issues related to hazard mitigation; if yes, briefly describe.	No
Other programs already in place that could be used to communicate hazard-related information; if yes, briefly describe.	Partnership with the Town allows for expanded communication
Warning systems for hazard events; if yes, briefly describe.	Reverse 911 (county and town group), Town's app, media outlets, website, social media
Natural disaster/safety programs in place for schools; if yes, briefly describe.	County completes safety programs for county schools. Robust relationship with the local school that would allow the Town and Village to complete outreach and education.
Other	No

Community Classifications

The table below summarizes classifications for community programs available to the Village of Nissequogue.

Table 9.34-8. Community Classifications

Program	Participating? (Yes/No)	Classification (if applicable)	Date Classified (if applicable)
Community Rating System (CRS)	NP	-	-
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS)	Yes	3/3	2003
Public Protection (ISO Fire Protection Classes 1 to 10)	Yes	3	-
NYSDEC Climate Smart Community	NP	-	-





Program	Participating? (Yes/No)	Classification (if applicable)	Date Classified (if applicable)
Storm Ready Certification	NP	-	-
Firewise Communities classification	NP	-	-
Other	NP	-	-

Note:

N/A Not applicable
NP Not participating
- Unavailable

Adaptive Capacity

Adaptive capacity is defined as "the ability of systems, institutions, humans and other organisms to adjust to potential damage, to take advantage of opportunities, or respond to consequences" (IPCC 2014). In other words, it describes a jurisdiction's current ability to adjust to, protect from, or withstand a hazard event. This term is often discussed in reference to climate change; however, adaptive capacity also includes an understanding of local capacity for adapting to current and future risks and changing conditions. The table below summarizes the adaptive capacity for each hazard and the jurisdiction's rating.

Table 9.34-9. Adaptive Capacity

Hazard	Adaptive Capacity (Capabilities) - High/Medium/Low*
Coastal Erosion	Medium
Cyber Security	Medium
Disease Outbreak	Medium
Drought	Medium
Earthquake	Medium
Expansive Soils	Medium
Extreme Temperature	Medium
Flood	Medium
Groundwater Contamination	Medium
Hurricane	Medium
Infestation and Invasive Species	Medium
Nor'Easter	Medium
Severe Storm	Medium
Severe Winter Storm	High
Shallow Groundwater	Medium
Wildfire	Medium

^{*}HighCapacity exists and is in use

MediumCapacity may exist; but is not used or could use some improvement

LowCapacity does not exist or could use substantial improvement

UnsureNot enough information is known to assign a rating

The Village has access to resources to determine the possible impacts of climate change upon the municipality and the administrations is supportive of integrating climate change in policies or actions. Climate change is already being integrated into current policies/plans or actions (projects/monitoring) within the municipality. The Village monitors beach erosion and coastal flooding and is looking to address these worsening issues.

9.34.5 National Flood Insurance Program

This section provides specific information on the management and regulation of the regulatory floodplain.





NFIP Floodplain Administrator (FPA)

Joseph Arico, Building Inspector. The approach Smithtown and the Villages take to floodplain management is a team approach. Many personnel across diverse backgrounds assist on ensuring issues within the floodplain are addressed completely.

National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Summary

The following table summarizes the NFIP statistics for the Village of Nissequogue.

Table 9.34-10. NFIP Summary

Municipality	# Policies	# Claims (Losses)	Total Loss Payments	# RL Properties
Village of Nissequogue	48	41	\$693,469	1

Source: FEMA 2020

Notes: According to FEMA statistics as of 7/13/2020

RL Repetitive Loss

Flood Vulnerability Summary

Lists are maintained of the properties that are damaged, however no tracking has been necessary for property owners interested in mitigation. For minimal losses, the Village Floodplain Administrator and Building Inspector has the capabilities to perform the damage reports. However, should a significant natural event widely impact the Village, or have other needs beyond current capabilities, the Town of Smithtown Department of Public Safety provide appropriate resources to address the properties of concern.

Two properties were Substantially Damaged due to flooding during Hurricane Sandy. One home has been rebuilt. The other is currently boarded up.

Resources

The community FDPO identifies the Building Inspector as the local NFIP Floodplain Administrator, currently Joseph Arico, for which floodplain administration is an auxiliary duty.

In addition to the NFIP FPA, the community has supplementary staff for which NFIP is an auxiliary duty; personnel include a contracted professionally licensed Village Engineer and professional grant writer for the Village. The Town of Smithtown makes resources available to the Village as necessary to assist with implementation the floodplain management program.

Duties and responsibilities of the Building Inspector/NFIP Administrator are permit review, damage assessments, record-keeping, and inspections. GIS services can be provided, as necessary, by the Town of Smithtown or the Village Engineer.

The Building Inspector/NFIP Administrator attends annual recertification training. Should any local training opportunities arise for further training and/or certification, the Village would participate.

The Villages of Nissequogue and Head of the Harbor have formed a Joint Coastal Commission that administers their jointly adopted Local Waterfront Revitalization Program Plan. This commission does public outreach and environmental planning to protect the community from natural hazards and preserve the community's' natural habitat.



Compliance History

Village of Nissequogue joined the NFIP on May 16, 1983 and is currently an active member of the NFIP. The current effective Flood Insurance Rate Maps are dated September 25, 2009.

The most recent Community Assistance Visit (CAV) took place on September 28, 2017. The municipality sees no specific need for a CAV at this time.

Regulatory

The communities Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance (FDPO) was last updated on August 18, 2009 and is found at Chapter 71 of the local code.

Floodplain management regulations and ordinances meet FEMA and New York State minimum requirements.

Community Rating System

The Village does not participate in the Community Rating System. The benefit of joining the Community Rating System (CRS) to the Village is low as, from their current knowledge of the program, it appears to cost more money to join than policy holders would see in a reduction of their premiums.

9.34.6 Integration with Other Planning Initiatives

As this HMP update is implemented, the Village of Nissequogue will use information from the plan as the best available science and data for natural hazards. The capability assessment presented in this annex identifies codes, plans, and programs that provide opportunities for integration. The Suffolk County and local action plans developed for this HMP update actions related to plan integration, as well as progress on these actions, will be reported through the progress reporting process described in Volume I. New opportunities for integration also will be identified as part of the annual progress report.

Existing Integration

- **Building Department:** The Village Building Inspector is responsible for the issuance of building permits and certificates of occupancy for all structures as defined in the Village Code. The Village Building Inspector also serves as the Village Code Enforcement Officer.
- **Highway Department:** The Village Highway Department performs street maintenance and road repairs and maintains village parks.
- **Police Department:** The police department has a staff of members, who in cooperation with the community, are committed to providing a safe and secure environment in the Village of Nissequogue through the professional discharge of their duties.
- Engineering Department: The Village Engineer provides professional engineering services in the design and construction management of Village projects and technical assistance to the Planning Board and other Village Boards and Departments as needed.
- **Fire Department:** Fire protection and emergency medical services are provided by the Village through the Nissequogue Fire Department and its Ambulance Company. The Fire Department is staffed entirely by dedicated, trained volunteers from the community.

Opportunities for Future Integration

None identified.





9.34.7 Evacuation, Sheltering, Temporary Housing, and Permanent Housing

Evacuation routes, sheltering measures, temporary housing, and permanent housing must all be in place and available for public awareness to protect residents, mitigate risk, and relocate residents, if necessary, to maintain post-disaster social and economic stability.

Evacuation Routes

Edgewood Avenue and Nissequogue River Road are the main points of ingress and egress for the Village. Emergency and evacuation decisions are made at the local level, following Town and County guidance.

Sheltering

The Village relies on the American Red Cross for sheltering. No facilities are located within the Village that would be appropriate for sheltering.

Temporary Housing

The only locations that would be appropriate for temporary housing are located in the 100-year floodplain. The Village will work with the County to identify regional locations which could service temporary housing needs for the Village (2020-Nissequogue-010).

Permanent Housing

The Village has not identified locations for the placement of permanent housing due to being built out.

9.34.8 Hazard Event History Specific to the Village of Nissequogue

Suffolk County has a history of natural and non-natural hazard events as detailed in Volume I, Section 5 (Risk Assessment) of this plan. A summary of historical events is provided in each of the hazard profiles and includes a chronology of events that have affected the County and its municipalities. The Village of Nissequogue's history of federally-declared (as presented by FEMA) and significant hazard events (as presented in NOAA-NCEI) is consistent with that of Suffolk County. Table 9.42-1 provides details regarding municipal-specific loss and damages the Village experienced during hazard events. Information provided in the table below is based on reference material or local sources. For details of these and additional events, refer to Volume I, Section 5.0 of this plan.

Table 9.34-11. Hazard Event History

Dates of Event	Event Type (Disaster Declaration if applicable)	County Designated?	Summary of Event	Municipal Summary of Damages and Losses
February 8 – 9, 2013	Severe Winter Storm and Snowstorm (FEMA DR- 4111)	Yes	Low pressure that formed along the northern Gulf coast by the morning of Thursday, February 7, 2013 moved northeast to near Cape Hatteras by the morning of Friday, February 8, 2013. The low then rapidly intensified while moving northeast to a position east of Cape Cod by the morning of Saturday, February 9, 2013, producing very heavy snowfall and blizzard conditions across central and eastern Long Island on February 8th and 9th, and winter storm conditions across the rest of southeast New York.	Contractors were used for the snow removal.



Dates of Event	Event Type (Disaster Declaration if applicable)	County Designated?	Summary of Event	Municipal Summary of Damages and Losses
March 14 – 15, 2017	Severe Winter Storm and Snowstorm (FEMA DR- 4322)	Yes	On Tuesday, March 14th, rapidly deepening low pressure tracked up the eastern seaboard resulting in damaging winds in Suffolk County.	Costs incurred included overtime costs of \$36,000, equipment costs of \$13,510, and \$65,000 for salt and sand. These costs include the Town of Smithtown and its villages.

Notes:

EM Emergency Declaration (FEMA)

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency
DR Major Disaster Declaration (FEMA)

N/A Not applicable

9.34.9 Hazard Ranking and Jurisdiction-Specific Vulnerabilities

The hazard profiles in Section 5 (Risk Assessment) of this plan have detailed information regarding each plan participant's vulnerability to the identified hazards. The following summarizes critical facility and community lifeline flood exposure, and the hazards of greatest concern and risk to the Village of Nissequogue. For additional vulnerability information relevant to this jurisdiction, refer to Section 5.

A gradient of certainty was developed to summarize the confidence level regarding the input used to populate the hazard ranking. A certainty factor of high, medium or low was selected and assigned to each hazard to provide a level of transparency and create increased understanding of the data used to support the resulting ranking. The following scale was used to assign a certainty factor to each hazard:

- High—Defined scenario/event to evaluate; probability calculated; evidenced-based/quantitative assessment to estimate potential impacts through hazard modeling.
- Moderate—Defined scenario/event or only a hazard area to evaluate; estimated probability; combination
 of quantitative (exposure analysis, no hazard modeling) and qualitative data to estimate potential impacts.
- Low—Scenario or hazard area is undefined; there is a degree of uncertainty regarding event probability; majority of potential impacts are qualitative.

Critical Facilities

New York Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) Statute 6 CRR-NY 502.4 sets forth floodplain management criteria for State projects located in flood hazard areas. The law states that no such projects related to critical facilities shall be undertaken in a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) unless constructed according to specific mitigation specifications, including being raised 2' above the Base Flood Elevation (BFE). This statute is outlined at http://tinyurl.com/6-CRR-NY-502-4. While all vulnerabilities should be assessed and documented, the State places a high priority on exposure to flooding. Critical facilities located in an SFHA, or having ever sustained previous flooding, must be protected to the 500-year flood event, or worst damage scenario. For those that do not meet these criteria, the jurisdiction must identify an action to achieve this level of protection (NYS DHSES 2017).

The table below identifies critical facilities and community lifelines located in the 1-percent and 0.2-percent floodplain. It also summarizes if the facility is already mitigated in compliance with NYS standards (i.e., to



the 0.2-percent annual chance event or worse-case scenario), or if a new mitigation action is proposed in the plan update.

Table 9.34-12. Potential Flood Losses to Critical Facilities

		Exposure				
		1% Event		0.20/	Complies with	Addressed by
Name	Туре	A-Zone	V-Zone	0.2% Event	NYS Standards	Proposed Action
None identified at this time						

Source: Suffolk County 2020; FEMA 2009

Notes: x = Facility is located in the floodplain boundary. *Community Lifeline

Hazard Ranking

This section provides the community specific identification of the primary hazard concerns based on identified problems, impacts and the results of the risk assessment as presented in Section 5 (Risk Assessment) of the plan. The ranking process involves an assessment of the likelihood of occurrence for each hazard, along with its potential impacts on people, property, and the economy as well as community capability and changing future climate conditions. This input supports the mitigation action development to target those hazards with highest level of concern.

As discussed in Section 5.3 (Hazard Ranking), each participating jurisdiction may have differing degrees of risk exposure and vulnerability compared to Suffolk County as a whole. Therefore, each municipality ranked the degree of risk to each hazard as it pertains to their community. The table below summarizes the hazard risk/vulnerability rankings of potential hazards for the Village of Nissequogue. The Village of Nissequogue has reviewed the county hazard risk/vulnerability risk ranking table and provided input to its individual results to reflect the relative risk of the hazards of concern to the community.

During the review of the hazard/vulnerability risk ranking, the Village of Nissequogue indicated the following:

The Village agreed with the calculated hazard rankings.

Table 9.34-13. Hazard Ranking

Coastal Erosion Medium	Cyber Security Medium	Disease Outbreak Medium	Drought Low	Earthquake Low	Expansive Soils Low
Extreme Temperature Medium	Flood Medium	Groundwater Contamination Medium	Hurricane High	Infestation and Invasive Species Medium	Nor'Easter High
	Severe Storm Medium	Severe Winter Storm Medium	Shallow Groundwater Low	Wildfire Medium	

Identified Issues

The municipality has identified the following vulnerabilities within their community:

• The Long Beach community is on a peninsula with only one access road, Long Beach Road, which is subjected to repetitive tidal flooding a minimum of 36 times of year. The Elevation of this roadway is a priority for the community, but the Village lacks funding. Long Beach Road provides the sole means





of vehicular access to a narrow peninsula that is home to a residential community of 45 homes (approximately 250 residents) as well as a Town of Smithtown Public Safety marine facility. The Town's Harbor Master and Marine Response Team are stationed at the Long Beach marina. The fueling facility at this location provides 6,000 total gallons of storage in two tanks. This facility routinely serves the Department of Public Safety patrol and emergency response vessels, consisting of a fleet of five (5) boats. The Marine Response Team provides coverage from as far west as Northport to as far east as Stony Brook, and the response area encompasses local waters, the interstate border with Connecticut and commercial shipping lanes for fuel carriers. The marina is in a remote location relative to other critical facilities and fuel supply locations in the Town, is in a flood zone, and the only roadway access is via Long Beach Road. During emergency events, this facility serves emergency response vessels as well as vehicles operated by the Department of Public Safety, local fire departments, two village police departments, and county, state and other public safety agencies. It also serves as an adjunct facility available to provide fuel to the Town's primary fueling station.

- The Town has an active hazard mitigation planning grant for Long Beach Road elevation for the planning portion. Next step is FEMA review. HMGP-4348-0049.
- Short Beach Road is in similar situation as Long Beach Road. (larger impact for Smithtown but located within the Village as well.)
- Power outages are fairly routine (regionally).
- River Road is carved along riverbank of the Nissequogue River. The road is elevated but has become
 eroded due to groundwater runoff under the roadway. The road is a main artery for the Village and
 town. Some efforts have been made to address the problem through adding steel sheeting for
 stabilization for riverbank, but more durable mitigation measures are needed.
- Cordwood Path is a concern for runoff into the harbor, ice and snow buildup, and deterioration of the
 roadway and stormwater system. The road is owned by the villages of Nissequogue and Head of the
 Harbor and runs down to the harbor to the Smithtown recreation facility.
- The topography of the Village contains many steep hills and isolated valleys, and the Village is heavily wooded. As such, stormwater and debris management are challenges throughout the Village and are exacerbated by most natural hazards.
- Beach erosion is an issue for numerous private properties and Village recreational areas.

9.34.10 Mitigation Strategy and Prioritization

This section discusses past mitigations actions and status, describes proposed hazard mitigation initiatives, and their prioritization.

Past Mitigation Initiative Status

The following table indicates progress on the community's mitigation strategy identified in the 2014 HMP. Actions that are carried forward as part of this plan update are included in the updated mitigation strategy table (Table 9.34-15). Previous actions that are now on-going programs and capabilities are indicated as such in the following table and may also be found under 'Capability Assessment' presented previously in this annex.





Table 9.34-14. Status of Previous Mitigation Actions

N-1	Project Name Continue to adopt any future updates to the Town of Smithtown's existing Emergency Management Plans. Identify opportunities for partnership.	Hazard(s) Addressed	Responsible Party Village	Brief Summary of the Original Problem and the Solution (Project)	Status (In Progress, Ongoing, No Progress, Complete) Ongoing Capability	Evaluation of Success (if complete Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	Next Steps 1. Project to be included in 2020 HMP or Discontinue 2. If including action in the 2020 HMP, revise/reword to be more specific (as appropriate). 3. If discontinue, explain why. 1. Discontinue 2. 3. Ongoing Capability
VN -2	Undertake an assessment and secure funding for the necessary upgrades and/or enhancements to the stormwater management facility maintenance program.	Flood, Nor'Easter, Hurricane, Severe Weather, Coastal Erosion	Village		In Progress; NYSDEC funding awarded to Town of Smithtown in 2016 for a shared use vacuum truck for cleaning stormwater drainage structures. NYSDOS funding awarded to Town of Smithtown in 2018 is supporting a joint watershed management feasibility study with Villages of Nissequogue and Head of the Harbor for the Cordwood Path area. Assessment of village-wide stormwater management facility maintenance program	Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	Include in 2020 HMP Assessment of village wide stormwater management facility maintenance program 3.



DECEMBER 1							
Project #	Project Name	Hazard(s) Addressed	Responsible Party	Brief Summary of the Original Problem and the Solution (Project)	Status (In Progress, Ongoing, No Progress, Complete) continues to be needed.	Evaluation of Success (if complete)	Next Steps 1. Project to be included in 2020 HMP or Discontinue 2. If including action in the 2020 HMP, revise/reword to be more specific (as appropriate). 3. If discontinue, explain why.
VN -3	Continue to assess Village roadways, and identify other roadways for elevation or other types of retro-fitting to increase the ability of the road network to handle various types of natural storm events and reduce future damages to vulnerable roadways. Implement improvements as funding becomes available.	Flood, Nor'Easter, Hurricane, Severe Weather, Coastal Erosion	Village		Ongoing Capability	Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	1. Discontinue 2. 3.
VN-4	Assess and prioritize needed flood prevention projects in the following risk/prone areas: Nissequogue River corridor and Stony Brook Harbor. Implement improvements as funding becomes available.	Nor'Easters Severe Winter Storms, Flood, Nor'Easter, Hurricane, Severe Weather; Coastal Erosion; Shallow Groundwater	Village		In Progress	Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	1. Include in 2020 HMP 2. 3.
VN-5 (prev. VN-6)	Inventory any private properties which have reported severe repetitive damages from natural hazards, for example coastal erosion, flooding and/or shallow groundwater. Evaluate surrounding existing conditions. Consider the costs and benefits of mitigation measures such as municipal public improvements, acquisition, relocation, and/or structural retrofits. Develop a	All Hazards	Village		In Progress	Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	1. Include in 2020 HMP 2. 3.



AONA							
Project #	Project Name list of project proposals, prioritized using methods including FEMA Benefit Cost Analysis	Hazard(s) Addressed	Responsible Party	Brief Summary of the Original Problem and the Solution (Project)	Status (In Progress, Ongoing, No Progress, Complete)	Evaluation of Success (if complete	Next Steps 1. Project to be included in 2020 HMP or Discontinue 2. If including action in the 2020 HMP, revise/reword to be more specific (as appropriate). 3. If discontinue, explain why.
VN -6 (prev. VN-7)	As capabilities permit, support and participate in county led initiatives intended to build local and regional mitigation and risk-reduction capabilities (see Section 9.1), specifically it is acknowledged that opportunities for multijurisdictional partnership may be beneficial to enhance the following: • Natural hazard awareness and personal scale risk reduction/mitigation public education and outreach programs • Post-disaster assessment and recovery capabilities • Debris Management • Outreach to private property owners to improve understanding of damage history and create interest in mitigation activities • Regional, county and local capabilities to manage seismic	All Hazards	Village; Town of Smithtown; County of Suffolk		Ongoing Capability	Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	Discontinue Ongoing capability



THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P							
Project #	Project Name	Hazard(s) Addressed	Responsible Party	Brief Summary of the Original Problem and the Solution (Project)	Status (In Progress, Ongoing, No Progress, Complete)	Evaluation of Success (if complete)	Next Steps 1. Project to be included in 2020 HMP or Discontinue 2. If including action in the 2020 HMP, revise/reword to be more specific (as appropriate). 3. If discontinue, explain why.
	risk, both pre- and post-disaster • Alignment of Mitigation Initiatives through all levels of Government (effort to build State and Federal level recognition and support of the County and local hazard mitigation planning strategies identified in this plan).						
VN-7 (prev. VN-8)	Support any actions undertaken by the Town of Smithtown concerning post-disaster action plans and debris management plans by continuing to adopt updates to the current emergency management plans.	All Hazards	Village, Town of Smithtown		Ongoing Capability	Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	1. Discontinue 2. 3. Ongoing Capability
VN-8 (prev. VN-9)	Participate in any locally- offered educational training opportunities regarding participation in incentive-based programs such as, CRS and "Storm-Ready".	Flood, Nor'Easter, Hurricane, Severe Weather	Village		Ongoing Capability	Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	1. Discontinue 2. 3. Ongoing Capability
VN-9 (prev. VN- 10)	Continue to assess and identify erosion-prone areas in need of repair, replenishment and/or retro-fit that are critical to mitigate potential future losses, including Long Beach Road and Nissequogue River Road. Prioritize needed erosion control projects. Implement	Nor'Easters; Severe Winter Storms; Hurricane; Flooding; Severe Storms;	Village; possible dependencies on Town of Smithtown, Suffolk County		In Progress; The Village has partnered with the Town of Smithtown to design elevation of Long Beach Road to mitigate flood hazard. It is actively	Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	1. Include in 2020 HMP 2. 3.





THE CONTRACTOR							
Project #	Project Name	Hazard(s) Addressed	Responsible Party	Brief Summary of the Original Problem and the Solution (Project)	Status (In Progress, Ongoing, No Progress, Complete)	Evaluation of Success (if complete)	Next Steps 1. Project to be included in 2020 HMP or Discontinue 2. If including action in the 2020 HMP, revise/reword to be more specific (as appropriate). 3. If discontinue, explain why.
	solutions as funding becomes available.	Coastal Erosion	and/or New York State		pursuing engineering and design measures to address erosion control for River Road.		
VN-10 (prev. VN- 11)	Inventory areas of the Village that are subject to repetitive losses from surface, groundwater and/or tidal flooding. Evaluate potential improvements to stormwater management and/or other municipal infrastructure which could mitigate said losses. Perform feasibility studies, develop designs and implement projects as funding becomes available	Nor'Easters; Severe Winter Storms; Hurricane; Flooding; Severe Storms; Coastal Erosion; Shallow Groundwater; Expansive Soils	Village		In Progress: Currently partnering with Town of Smithtown on HMGP-4349- 0049 Long Beach Road Elevation. Short Beach Road continually being monitored for repetitive flooding (Nor'Easters, lunar high tides).	Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	1. Include in 2020 HMP 2. 3.
VN-11 (new) Sandy HMGP LOI #131	Elevation of Long Beach Road. HMGP funds are requested to elevate an approximately 1,500' segment of Long Beach Road to mitigate damages caused by repetitive flooding.	- - - -	-		In Progress; Elevation project design currently funded under HMGP-4348- 0049 with Town of Smithtown.	Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	1. Include in 2020 HMP 2. 3.
VN-12 (new)	Use the Joint Coastal Commission, a partnership with the Village of Head of the Harbor, to increase public awareness of natural hazard and environmental planning	All Hazards	Village Mayor/ Trustee's		Ongoing Capability	Cost Level of Protection Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	1. Discontinue 2. 3. Ongoing Capability
VN-13	Inventory and evaluate all existing bridges/culverts under	Nor'Easters; Flooding;	Village, Town of Smithtown,		Ongoing Capability	Cost Level of Protection	1. Discontinue 2. 3. Ongoing capability





Project #	Project Name	Hazard(s) Addressed	Responsible Party	Brief Summary of the Original Problem and the Solution (Project)	Status (In Progress, Ongoing, No Progress, Complete)	Evaluation o Success (if complete)	appropriate).
(new)	Village jurisdiction: develop project concepts to increase structural stability & drainage capacity of culverts significant to storm water conveyance & supporting critical evacuation and response routes.	Shallow Groundwater	possible dependencies on Suffolk County and/or New York State			Damages Avoided; Evidence of Success	



Completed Mitigation Initiatives Not Identified in the Previous Mitigation Strategy

The Village of Nissequogue has identified the following mitigation projects/activities that have also been completed but were not identified in the previous mitigation strategy in the 2014 HMP:

Erosion control and protection of environmental habitats is a priority for the Village. As such they have
adopted a Local Waterfront Revitalization Plan and formed a Joint Coastal Commission with a
neighboring Village of Head of the Harbor to perform public outreach and environmental planning to
protect the shoreline and coastal habitat from natural hazards such as erosion, coastal storms et al.

Proposed Hazard Mitigation Initiatives for the HMP Update

The Village of Nissequogue participated in a mitigation action workshop in June 2020 and was provided the following FEMA publications to use as a resource as part of their comprehensive review of all possible activities and mitigation measures to address their hazards: FEMA 551 'Selecting Appropriate Mitigation Measures for Floodprone Structures' (March 2007) and FEMA 'Mitigation Ideas – A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards' (January 2013).

Table 9.34-15 summarizes the comprehensive range of specific mitigation initiatives the Village of Nissequogue would like to pursue in the future to reduce the effects of hazards. Some of these initiatives may be previous actions carried forward for this plan update. These initiatives are dependent upon available funding (grants and local match availability) and may be modified or omitted at any time based on the occurrence of new hazard events and changes in municipal priorities. Both the four FEMA mitigation action categories and the six CRS mitigation action categories are listed in the table below to further demonstrate the wide-range of activities and mitigation measures selected.

As discussed in Section 6 (Mitigation Strategy), fourteen criteria are used to evaluate and prioritize each proposed mitigation action. A numeric factor is assigned (-1, 0, or 1) to each criterion to provide a relative indication of the opportunities and constraints of each action. A numerical sum of the input provides the basis of the prioritization of actions wherein each action is assigned a category of Low, Medium, or High to indicate an implementation hierarchy. A High priority action indicates the jurisdiction will prioritize its implementation and apply for funding, if needed, as opportunities become available during the plan period of performance. This does not prevent the jurisdiction from implementing other ranked actions; however, this provides a snapshot of implementation priority at the time of this plan update.

Table 9.34-16 provides a summary of the evaluation and prioritization for each proposed mitigation initiative. Refer to the action worksheets at the end of this annex for more details on the high-ranked hazards identified first for implementation.



Project Number	Project Name	Goal s Met	Hazard(s) to be Mitigated	Description of Problem and Solution	Critical Facility (Yes/No)	EHP Issues	Estimate d Timeline	Lead Agency	Estimate d Costs	Estimated Benefits	Potential Funding Sources	Priority	Mitigation Category	CRS Category
2020- Nissequogu e-001	Stormwater Upgrades	2	Flood, Severe Storm	Problem: Stormwater flooding is a recurring problem within the Village. Although maintenance does exist, a strategy needs to be established to ensure that the system is maintained and updated to meet the Village's needs in a cost-effective method. Solution: Undertake an assessment and secure funding for the necessary upgrades and/or enhancements to the stormwater management facility maintenance program.	No	Non e	Within 5 years	Engineer	TBD by flood study	Reduction in flood risk in selected areas	HMGP, BRIC, Town budget	Hig h	LPR , SIP	PP , SP
2020- Nissequogu e-002	Flood Prevention in Nissequogu e River Corridor and Stony Brook Harbor	1, 2, 3, 4	Flood	Problem: The Nissequogue River corridor and Stony Brook Harbor areas are flood prone. Solution: Assess and prioritize needed flood prevention projects in the following risk/prone areas: Nissequogue River corridor and Stony Brook Harbor through a flood study. Implement improvements as funding becomes available.	No	Non e	Within 5 years	Engineer	ТВ	Increased awareness and decreased flood risk	HMGP, BRIC, FMA, Town budget	Hig h	LPR , SIP	PP , SP
2020- Nissequogu e-003	Repetitive Loss Mitigation	1, 2	Flood; Severe Storm; Shallow Groundwat er	Problem: Frequent flooding events have resulted in damages to residential properties in neighborhoods on East Long Beach Road and along the Nissequogue River. These properties have been repetitively flooded as documented by paid NFIP claims. Solution: Conduct outreach to 30 flood-prone property owners, including RL/SRL property owners and provide information on mitigation alternatives. After preferred mitigation measures are identified, collect required	No	Non e	3 years	NFIP Floodplain Administrator , supported by homeowners	\$3 Million	Eliminates flood damage to homes and residents, creates open space for the municipality increasing flood storage.	FEMA HMGP and FMA, local cost share by residents	Hig h	SIP	PP



Project Number	Project Name	Goal s Met	Hazard(s) to be Mitigated	Description of Problem and Solution	Critical Facility (Yes/No)	EHP Issues	Estimate d Timeline	Lead Agency	Estimate d Costs	Estimated Benefits	Potential Funding Sources	Priority	Mitigation Category	CRS Category
				property-owner information and develop a FEMA grant application and BCA to obtain funding to implement acquisition/purchase/moving/eleva ting residential homes in the flood prone areas that experience frequent flooding (high risk areas).										
2020- Nissequogu e-004	Elevate Long Beach Road	2,8	Flood, Severe Storm, Hurricane, Nor'Easter	Problem: Long Beach Road is low lying and prone to flooding. Solution: Elevate an approximately 1,500' segment of Long Beach Road to mitigate damages caused by repetitive flooding.	No	Non e	Within 5 years	Engineer, Town of Smithtown	\$891,400	Reduction in flooding	HMGP, PDM, BRIC, CDBG, Town budget	Hig h	SIP	PP
2020- Nissequogu e-005	Elevate Short Beach Road	2,8	Flood, Severe Storm, Hurricane, Nor'Easter	Problem: Short Beach Road is a low lying coastal roadway that experiences flooding. 4 residential properties and the Town Beach are isolated during flooding. Solution: Work with Town of Smithtown to elevate Short Beach Road to mitigate damages caused by repetitive flooding and maintain access.	No	Non e	Within 5 years	Engineer	\$150,000	Reduction in flooding	HMGP, PDM, BRIC, CDBG, Town budget	Hig h	SIP	PP
2020- Nissequogu e-006	River Road Stabilizatio n	1, 2, 8	Flood, Severe Storm, Shallow Groundwat er Flooding	Problem: Shallow groundwater, spring activity, and tidal river flooding has eroded the base of the road, and destabilized the roadway. Solution: Design and construct roadway improvements to mitigate shallow groundwater, spring activity and tidal river flooding that has eroded to base of the road, and destabilized the roadway.	No	Non e	Within 5 years	Engineer, Highway Department	\$500,000	Roadway protected from future flood damages	HMGP,	Hig h	SIP	PP
2020- Nissequogu e-007	Cordwood Road Stormwater Feasibility Study	1, 2	Flood, Severe Storm	Problem: Cordwood Road lacks appropriate drainage. The steepness of the roadway coupled with runoff has led to roadway erosion and lack of stormwater	No	Non e	2 years	Town of Smithtown, Village of Head of the Harbor,	TBD by feasibility study	Increased efficiency of stormwater system	HMGP, BRIC, Village budget	Hig h	LPR , SIP	SP



Project Number	Project Name	Goal s Met	Hazard(s) to be Mitigated	Description of Problem and Solution	Critical Facility (Yes/No)	EHP Issues	Estimate d Timeline	Lead Agency	Estimate d Costs	Estimated Benefits	Potential Funding Sources	Priority	Mitigation Category	CRS Category
				treatment before entering the harbor. Solution: Complete Stormwater feasibility study to reduce runoff and increase groundwater infiltration. Design and install stormwater structures along roadway to prevent erosion and roadway damage due to stormwater runoff.				Village Administratio n						
2020- Nissequogu e-008	Beach Stabilizatio n	3, 4, 5	Coastal Erosion	Problem: Beach erosion and bluff destabilization is a recurring problem. Vegetative plantings have shown promise for stabilization. Solution: The Village will set up a pilot planting program of the particular species of Atlantic Sea Grass that seems indestructible on our town Short Beach. The Village would site the planting on the Village Beach property at the eastern extent of the Village Sound coastline. If this is effective, the Village will incorporate that process in bluff control plans for the Village residents along the sound.	No	Non e	Within 5 years	Village Administratio n	\$10,000	Establishme nt of natural protective system	Municipal budget, Environment al grant opportunities	Hig h	NSP	N R
2020- Nissequogu e-009	Coastal Erosion Monitoring	1, 2, 3, 5	Coastal Erosion, Hurricane, Nor'Easter	Problem: The Village has shoreline which could be exposed to coastal erosion. Solution: The Village will participate in a county led erosion monitoring program.	No	Non e	Within 1 year	SCWD, Village Administratio n	Staff time	Identificatio n of coastal erosion	Municipal budget	Hig h	NSP	N R
2020- Nissequogu e-010	Temporary Housing	7, 8	All Hazards	Problem: The only locations that would be appropriate for temporary housing are located in the 100-year floodplain. Solution: The Village will work with the County to identify regional locations which could	No	Non e	Within 6 months	Administratio n, County	Staff time	Identificatio n of temporary housing locations to service the Village	Municipal budget	Hig h	LPR	ES



Project Number	Project Name	Goal s Met	Hazard(s) to be Mitigated	Description of Problem and Solution	Critical Facility (Yes/No)	EHP Issues	Estimate d Timeline	Lead Agency	Estimate d Costs	Estimated Benefits	Potential Funding Sources	Priority	Mitigation Category	CRS Category
				service temporary housing needs for the Village.										

Notes:

Not all acronyms and abbreviations defined below are included in the table.

CAV Community Assistance Visit
CRS Community Rating System
DPW Department of Public Works

EHP Environmental Planning and Historic Preservation

FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency

FPA Floodplain Administrator
HMA Hazard Mitigation Assistance

N/A Not applicable

NFIP National Flood Insurance Program

OEMOffice of Emergency Management

Critical Facility:

Yes
Critical Facility located in 1% floodplain

Potential FEMA HMA Funding Sources:

FMA Flood Mitigation Assistance Grant Program
HMGP Hazard Mitigation Grant Program
PDM Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program

Timeline:

The time required for completion of the project upon implementation

Cost:

The estimated cost for implementation.

Benefits:

A description of the estimated benefits, either quantitative and/or qualitative.

Mitigation Category:

- Local Plans and Regulations (LPR) These actions include government authorities, policies or codes that influence the way land and buildings are being developed and built.
- Structure and Infrastructure Project (SIP) These actions involve modifying existing structures and infrastructure to protect them from a hazard or remove them from a hazard area. This could apply to public or private structures as well as critical facilities and infrastructure. This type of action also involves projects to construct manmade structures to reduce the impact of hazards.
- Natural Systems Protection (NSP) These are actions that minimize damage and losses, and also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems.
- Education and Awareness Programs (EAP) These are actions to inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them. These actions may also include participation in national programs, such as StormReady and Firewise Communities

CRS Category:

- Preventative Measures (PR) Government, administrative or regulatory actions, or processes that influence the way land and buildings are developed and built. Examples include planning and zoning, floodplain local laws, capital improvement programs, open space preservation, and storm water management regulations.
- Property Protection (PP) These actions include public activities to reduce hazard losses or actions that involve (1) modification of existing buildings or structures to protect them from a
 hazard or (2) removal of the structures from the hazard area. Examples include acquisition, elevation, relocation, structural retrofits, storm shutters, and shatter-resistant glass.





- Public Information (PI) Actions to inform and educate citizens, elected officials, and property owners about hazards and potential ways to mitigate them. Such actions include outreach projects, real estate disclosure, hazard information centers, and educational programs for school-age children and adults.
- Natural Resource Protection (NR) Actions that minimize hazard loss and also preserve or restore the functions of natural systems. These actions include sediment and erosion control, stream corridor restoration, watershed management, forest and vegetation management, and wetland restoration and preservation.
- Structural Flood Control Projects (SP) Actions that involve the construction of structures to reduce the impact of a hazard. Such structures include dams, setback levees, floodwalls, retaining walls, and safe rooms.
- Emergency Services (ES) Actions that protect people and property during and immediately following a disaster or hazard event. Services include warning systems, emergency response services, and the protection of essential facilities



Table 9.34-16. Summary of Prioritization of Actions

Project Number	Project Name	Life Safety	Property Protection	Cost- Effectiveness	Technical	Political	Legal	Fiscal	Environmental	Social	Administrative	Multi-Hazard	Timeline	Agency Champion	Other Community	Total	High / Medium / Low
2020- Nissequogue-001	Stormwater Upgrades	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	12	High
2020- Nissequogue-002	Flood Prevention in Nissequogue River Corridor and Stony Brook Harbor.	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	11	High
2020- Nissequogue-003	Repetitive Loss Mitigation	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	10	High
2020- Nissequogue-004	Elevate Long Beach Road	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	12	High
2020- Nissequogue-005	Elevate Short Beach Road	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	12	High
2020- Nissequogue-006	River Road Stabilization	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	12	High
2020- Nissequogue-007	Cordwood Path	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	12	High
2020- Nissequogue-008	Beach Stabilization	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	11	High
2020- Nissequogue-009	Coastal Erosion Monitoring	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	11	High
2020- Nissequogue-010	Temporary Housing	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	13	High

Note: Refer to Section 6, which conveys guidance on prioritizing mitigation actions. Low (0-4), Medium (5-8), High (9-14).



9.34.11 Proposed Mitigation Action Types

The table below indicates the range of proposed mitigation action categories.

Table 9.34-17. Analysis of Mitigation Actions by Hazard and Category

		FEMA						CRS		
Hazard	LPR	SIP	NSP	EAP	PR	PP	ΡI	NR	SP	ES
Coastal Erosion	2020- Nissequogue- 010		2020- Nissequogue- 008, 2020- Nissequogue- 009					2020- Nissequogue- 008, 2020- Nissequogue- 009		2020- Nissequogue-010
Cyber Security	2020- Nissequogue- 010									2020- Nissequogue-010
Disease Outbreak	2020- Nissequogue- 010									2020- Nissequogue-010
Drought	2020- Nissequogue- 010									2020- Nissequogue-010
Earthquake										2020- Nissequogue-010
Expansive Soils	2020- Nissequogue- 010									2020- Nissequogue-010
Extreme Temperature	2020- Nissequogue- 010									2020- Nissequogue-010
Flood	2020- Nissequogue- 001, 2020- Nissequogue- 002, 2020- Nissequogue- 007, 2020- Nissequogue- 010	2020- Nissequogue- 001, 2020- Nissequogue- 002, 2020- Nissequogue- 003, 2020- Nissequogue- 005, 2020- Nissequogue- 006, 2020- Nissequogue- 006, 2020- Nissequogue- 006, 2020- Nissequogue-				2020- Nissequogue- 001, 2020- Nissequogue- 002, 2020- Nissequogue- 003, 2020- Nissequogue- 004, 2020- Nissequogue- 005, 2020- Nissequogue- 006			2020- Nissequogue- 001, 2020- Nissequogue- 002, 2020- Nissequogue- 007	2020- Nissequogue-010
Groundwater Contamination	2020- Nissequogue- 010									2020- Nissequogue-010
Hurricane	2020- Nissequogue- 010	2020- Nissequogue- 004, 2020- Nissequogue- 005,	2020- Nissequogue- 009			2020- Nissequogue- 004, 2020- Nissequogue- 005,		2020- Nissequogue- 009		2020- Nissequogue-010
Infestation and Invasive Species	2020- Nissequogue- 010									2020- Nissequogue-010
Nor'easter	2020- Nissequogue- 010	2020- Nissequogue- 004, 2020- Nissequogue- 005,	2020- Nissequogue- 009			2020- Nissequogue- 004, 2020- Nissequogue- 005,		2020- Nissequogue- 009		2020- Nissequogue-010
Severe Storm	2020- Nissequogue- 001, 2020- Nissequogue- 007, 2020- Nissequogue- 010	2020- Nissequogue- 001, 2020- Nissequogue- 003, 2020- Nissequogue- 004, 2020- Nissequogue- 005, 2020- Nissequogue- 006, 2020- Nissequogue- 007				2020- Nissequogue- 001, 2020- Nissequogue- 003, 2020- Nissequogue- 004, 2020- Nissequogue- 005, 2020- Nissequogue- 006			2020- Nissequogue- 001, 2020- Nissequogue- 007	2020- Nissequogue-010
Severe Winter Storm	2020- Nissequogue- 010									2020- Nissequogue-010



БR	Kalasa						
	Shallow	2020-	2020-		2020-		2020-
	Groundwater	Nissequogue- 010	Nissequogue- 003, 2020- Nissequogue- 006		Nissequogue- 003, 2020- Nissequogue- 006		Nissequogue-010
	Wildfire	2020- Nissequogue- 010					2020- Nissequogue-010

Note: Section 6 (Mitigation Strategy) provides for an explanation of the mitigation categories.

9.34.12 Staff and Local Stakeholder Involvement in Annex Development

The Village of Nissequogue followed the planning process described in Section 3 (Planning Process) in Volume I of this plan update. This annex was developed over the course of several months with input from many Village departments, including: Emergency Management, Strategic Grant Development. The Emergency Manager represented the community on the Suffolk County Hazard Mitigation Plan Planning Partnership and supported the local planning process requirements by securing input from persons with specific knowledge to enhance the plan. All departments were asked to contribute to the annex development through reviewing and contributing to the capability assessment, reporting on the status of previously identified actions, and participating in action identification and prioritization.

The following table summarizes who participated and in what capacity. Additional documentation on the municipality's planning process through Planning Partnership meetings is included in Section 3 (Planning Process) and Appendix C (Meeting Documentation).

Table 9.34-18. Contributors to the Annex

Name	Title/Entity	Method of Participation
John Valentine	Emergency Manager	Primary Point of Contact, attended plan participant
		meetings, provided impact data, contributed to mitigation
		strategy
Jennifer Mesiano Higham	Grants Coordinator	Secondary Point of Contact, attended plan participant
		meetings, provided impact data, contributed to mitigation
		strategy
Joseph Arico	Building Inspector	NFIP Floodplain Administrator

9.34.13 Hazard Area Extent and Location

Hazard area extent and location maps have been generated for the Village of Nissequogue that illustrate the probable areas that may be impacted within the municipality. These maps are based on the best available data at the time of the preparation of this plan and are considered to be adequate for planning purposes. The maps have only been generated for those hazards that can be clearly identified using mapping techniques and technologies, and for which the Village of Nissequogue has significant exposure.



Figure 9.34-1. Village of Nissequogue Hazard Area Extent and Location Map 1






Figure 9.34-2. Village of Nissequogue Hazard Area Extent and Location Map 2






Figure 9.34-3. Village of Nissequogue Hazard Area Extent and Location Map 3






Figure 9.34-4. Village of Nissequogue Hazard Area Extent and Location Map 4






Figure 9.34-5. Village of Nissequogue Hazard Area Extent and Location Map 5







Figure 9.34-6. Village of Nissequogue Hazard Area Extent and Location Map 6





AO	Λ	ction W	orksheet	•				
Project Name:	Repetitive Loss Mitiga		OI KSHEE					
Project Number:	2020-Nissequogue-00		1 .11.					
	Risk / Vulnerability							
Hazard(s) of Concern:	Flood, Severe Storm							
Description of the					al properties in neighborhoods			
Problem:	on East Long Beach R repetitively flooded as				hese properties have been			
	Action or Project							
	Conduct outreach to 3	0 flood- _l	orone prop	erty owners, including	g RL/SRL property owners and			
Description of the					mitigation measures are			
Solution:	identified, collect requ				velop a FEMA grant n/purchase/moving/elevating			
					ent flooding (high risk areas).			
Is this project related to a (Yes		No 🗵				
Lifeline? Is this project related to a (ritical Facility							
located within the 100-year		Yes		No 🛛				
, and the second	1% annual chance floo	nd			Eliminates flood damage to			
Lovel of Dueto stien.	event + freeboard (in	, a	Estimat	ed Benefits	homes and residents, creates			
Level of Protection:	accordance with flood	!	(losses avoided):		open space for the municipality increasing flood			
	ordinance)				storage.			
116-11:6-	Acquisition: Lifetime		Caala N	T-1.	1 2			
Useful Life:	Elevation: 30 years (residential)		Goals M	iet:	1, 2			
Estimated Cost:	\$3Million		Mitigat	ion Action Type:	Structure and Infrastructure			
Estimated Cost.	Plan for Implementation				Project			
	High	ior iiiip		l Timeframe for	(10 1			
Prioritization:			Implementation:		6-12 months			
Estimated Time Required	Three years		Potential Funding		FEMA HMGP and FMA,			
for Project Implementation:			Sources:		local cost share by residents			
Responsible	NFIP Floodplain		Local P	lanning				
Organization:	Administrator, support	ted by		isms to be Used	Hazard Mitigation			
g	homeowners Three Alternatives	Consid		ementation if any:				
	Action	Constu		stimated Cost	Evaluation			
	No Action			\$0	Current problem continues			
					When this area floods, the			
					entire area is impacted; elevating homes would not			
Alternatives:	Elevate homes			\$500,000	eliminate the problem and			
					still lead to road closures and			
					impassable roads			
	Elevate roads			\$500,000	Elevated roadways would not protect the homes from			
	Elevate roads			Ψ300,000	flood damages			
	Progress Rej	port (fo	r plan ma	nintenance)				
Date of Status Report:								
Report of Progress:								
Update Evaluation of the								
Problem and/or Solution:								
,	l							



	Actio	on Worksheet					
Project Name:	Repetitive Loss Mitigation						
Project Number:	2020-Nissequogue-003						
Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate					
Life Safety	1	Families moved out of high-risk flood areas.					
Property Protection	1	Properties removed from high-risk flood areas.					
Cost-Effectiveness	1	Cost-effective project					
Technical	1	Technically feasible project					
Political	1						
Legal	1	The Village has the legal authority to conduct the project.					
Fiscal	0	Project will require grant funding.					
Environmental	1						
Social	0	Project would remove families from the flood prone areas of the Village.					
Administrative	0						
Multi-Hazard	1	Flood, Severe Storm					
Timeline	0						
Agency Champion	1	NFIP Floodplain Administrator, supported by homeowners					
Other Community Objectives	1						
Total	10						
Priority (High/Med/Low)	High						



		Action V	Norks	sheet			
Project Name:	Elevate Long Beach	ı Road					
Project Number:	2020-Nissequogue-	004					
Risk / Vulnerability							
Hazard(s) of Concern:	Flood, Severe Storm	ı, Hurricaı	ne, No	r'Easter	ŗ		
Description of the Problem:	Long Beach Road is reduces access and					ooding of this roadway	
Action or Project Intended							
Description of the Solution:		The Village will work with the Town of Smithtown will raise the elevation of an approximately 1,500' segment of roadway and stabilize the roadway base.					
Is this project related to a	Critical Facility?	Yes		No	\boxtimes		
Is this project related to a located within the 100-y		Yes		No			
(If yes, this project must intend t	o protect the 500-year	flood even	nt or th	e actual	worse case damage so	cenario, whichever is greater)	
Level of Protection:	Roadway raised			mated I	Benefits ided):	Reduction in flooding	
Useful Life:	15 years			ls Met:	•	2, 8	
Estimated Cost:	\$891,400		Miti	gation	Action Type:	Structure and Infrastructure Project	
Plan for Implementation							
Prioritization:	High			ired Tir lement	meframe for ation:	Within 1 year	
Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation:	3 months		Pote	ential F	unding Sources:	FEMA HMP, PDM, BRIC, Municipal budget	
Responsible Organization:	Town of Smithtown Engineer	ι,	to be	e Used i	ning Mechanisms in ation if any:	Hazard mitigation planning	
Three Alternatives Conside	ered (including No	Action)					
	Action			Estin	nated Cost	Evaluation	
	No Action				\$0	Problem continues.	
Alternatives:	Relocate roady				N/A	Relocation not possible	
	Install flood wall roadway	along			N/A	Not enough space, costly	
Progress Report (for plan i	naintenance)						
Date of Status Report:							
Report of Progress:							
Update Evaluation of the Problem and/or Solution:							



YOUR						
	Actio	on Worksheet				
Project Name:	Elevate Long Beach Road					
Project Number:	2020-Nissequogue-004					
Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate				
Life Safety	1	Project will protect emergency response				
Property Protection	1	Project will protect roadway from flood damage				
Cost-Effectiveness	1					
Technical	1	The project is technically feasible				
Political	1					
Legal	1	The Village has the legal authority to complete the project				
Fiscal	0	Project requires funding support				
Environmental	1					
Social	1					
Administrative	1					
Multi-Hazard	1	Flood, Severe Storm, Hurricane, Nor'Easter				
Timeline	1	Within 1 year				
Agency Champion	1	Engineer, Town of Smithtown				
Other Community Objectives	1					
Total	12					
Priority (High/Med/Low)	High					



		Action V	Vorks	sheet			
Project Name:	Elevate Short Beach	n Road					
Project Number:	2020-Nissequogue-	005					
Risk / Vulnerability							
Hazard(s) of Concern:	Flood, Severe Storm	n, Hurrica	ne, No	r'Easter			
Description of the Problem:	properties and the T	own Beac		astal roadway that experient solated during flooding.	ces flooding. 4 residential		
Action or Project Intended	for Implementatio	n					
Description of the Solution:	The Village will wo stabilize the roadwa	The Village will work with the Town of Smithtown to raise the elevation of the roadway an stabilize the roadway base.					
Is this project related to a	Critical Facility?	Yes		No 🖾			
Is this project related to a located within the 100-y		Yes		No 🖂			
(If yes, this project must intend t	o protect the 500-year	flood ever	it or th	e actual worse case damage s	scenario, whichever is greater)		
Level of Protection:	Roadway raised			mated Benefits ses avoided):	Reduction in flooding, access maintained		
Useful Life:	15 years			s Met:	2, 8		
Estimated Cost:	\$150,000		Miti	gation Action Type:	Structure and Infrastructure Project		
Plan for Implementation							
Prioritization:	High			red Timeframe for lementation:	Within 5 years		
Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation:	3 months		Pote	ential Funding Sources:	FEMA HMP, PDM, BRIC, Municipal budget		
Responsible Organization:	Town of Smithtown Engineer	1,	to be	ll Planning Mechanisms e Used in lementation if any:	Hazard mitigation planning		
Three Alternatives Conside	ered (including No	Action)					
	Action			Estimated Cost	Evaluation		
	No Action			\$0	Problem continues.		
Alternatives:	Relocate roady			N/A	Relocation not possible		
	Install flood wall roadway	along		N/A	Not enough space, costly		
Progress Report (for plan i	naintenance)						
Date of Status Report:							
Report of Progress:							
Update Evaluation of the Problem and/or Solution:							



Aografia						
	Actio	on Worksheet				
Project Name:	Elevate Short Beach Road					
Project Number:	2020-Nissequogue-005					
Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate				
Life Safety	1	Project protects emergency response.				
Property Protection	1	Project will protect roadway from flood damage				
Cost-Effectiveness	1					
Technical	1	The project is technically feasible				
Political	1					
Legal	1	The Village has the legal authority to complete the project				
Fiscal	0	Project requires funding support				
Environmental	1					
Social	1					
Administrative	1					
Multi-Hazard	1	Flood, Severe Storm, Hurricane, Nor'Easter				
Timeline	0	Within 5 years				
Agency Champion	1	Engineer, Town of Smithtown				
Other Community Objectives	1					
Total	12					
Priority (High/Med/Low)	High					



30 GEORGE		A ati	Mari	ala o o t					
Project Name:	Cordwood Path Stor	Action V rmwater F							
Project Number:	2020-Nissequogue-	007							
Risk / Vulnerability									
	Flood, Severe Storm	<u> </u>							
Hazard(s) of Concern:									
Description of the Problem:					he roadway coupled with runoff before entering the harbor.				
Action or Project Intended	for Implementation	n							
Description of the Solution:	The Town of Smithtown, in collaboration with the Villages of Head of the Harbor and Nissequogue, will develop a feasibility study that will provide long term strategies to improve stormwater management and erosion control in the Cordwood Path area. The project area spans the waterfront revitalization boundaries described in the Town and joint village LWRPs. To ensure strategies are realistic and cost-effective, and to support future grant applications, funds are requested to complete the following: 1) field data collection & GIS analysis, including topographic surveys, utility identification, R.O.W. research, tidal information and watershed delineations; 2) develop a process to ensure stakeholder input from various Town and Village personnel/officials, as well as area residents; 3) conduct a plant inventory and develop recommendations for both plantings suitable to support achievements of goals and identify any potential invasive species mitigation measures; 4) develop and model stormwater control concepts using a combination of green and traditional drainage infrastructure; and 5) describe improvements to the park to accommodate new infrastructure and public education signage. Identified solutions will require funding support for implementation.								
Is this project related to a									
	(a this project related to a Critical Engility								
	ated within the 100-year floodplain?								
(If yes, this project must intend to protect to the 500-year flood event or the actual worse case damage scenario, whichever is great									
Level of Protection:	TBD by feasibility	study		mated Benefits ses avoided):	Reduction in flood risk in selected areas				
Useful Life:	TBD by feasibility	study	Goa	ls Met:	1, 2				
Estimated Cost:	TBD by feasibility	study	Miti	gation Action Type:	Local Plans and Regulations, Structure and Infrastructure Projects				
Plan for Implementation									
Prioritization:	High			red Timeframe for lementation:	Within 2 years				
Estimated Time Required for Project Implementation:	2 years			ential Funding Sources:	HMGP, BRIC, Village budget				
Responsible Organization:	Harbor, Village Administration to be Used in Implementation if any: planning, stormwa planning				planning, stormwater				
Three Alternatives Conside		Action)							
	Action			Estimated Cost	Evaluation				
	No Action			\$0	Problem continues.				
Alternatives:	Elevate roadw	ays		\$500,000	Costly and may not solve problem				
	Relocate roadw	vays		N/A	Not possible				
Progress Report (for plan	maintenance)								
Date of Status Report:									
	ı								





Report of Progress:	
Update Evaluation of the Problem and/or Solution:	



Evaluation and Prioritization		
Project Name:	Cordwood Path Stormwater Feasibility Study	
Project Number:	2020-Nissequogue-007	
Criteria	Numeric Rank (-1, 0, 1)	Provide brief rationale for numeric rank when appropriate
Life Safety	1	
Property Protection	1	Reduction in flooding risk
Cost-Effectiveness	1	
Technical	1	Technically feasible project
Political	1	
Legal	1	The Village has the legal authority to conduct the project.
Fiscal	0	Project will require grant funding.
Environmental	1	
Social	1	Project would reduce flooding impacts.
Administrative	1	
Multi-Hazard	1	Flood, Severe Storm
Timeline	1	
Agency Champion	1	Town of Smithtown, Village of Head of the Harbor, Village Administration
Other Community Objectives	1	
Total	12	
Priority (High/Med/Low)	High	